## Appendix 3-D

## LOCAL CODE AND ORDINANCE REVIEW AND EVALUATION

## **Table of Contents**

## **Appendix Section Headings**

3-D.1.0	INTRO	DUCTION	3-D-2
3-D.2.0	PREP/ 3-D.2.1 3-D.2.2 3-D.2.3	Identify Development Authorities Consider Establishing a Stakeholder Roundtable 3-D.2.3.1 Introduce Stakeholders to the Roundtable Process	3-D-3 3-D-3 3-D-5 3-D-8
		3-D.2.3.2 Conduct the Roundtable and Facilitate Consensus 3-D.3.2.3 Implement Code and Ordinances Changes	3-D-10 3-D-10
3-D.3.0	SITE D 3-D.3.1	AND ORDINANCE REVIEW TOOLS THAT MAY BE USED TO IFIED CONFLICTS IN RUNOFF REDUCTION & ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGEN PRINCIPLES Completing the Worksheet Calculating Your Score	3-D-10 3-D-10 3-D-11
3-D.4.0	CHEC	KLIST FOR ADVISORY REVIEW OF LOCAL ORDINANCES	3-D-12
3-D.5.0		ER FOR WATERSHED PROTECTION (CWP) CODE AND IANCE WORKSHEET	3-D-29
3-D.6.0	REFE	RENCES	3-D-38
		LIST OF TABLES	
Table 3	٦	Barriers to the Use of LID and Environmental Site Design Fechniques	3-D-2
Table 3-	I	Local, State and Federal Authorities Responsible for Development on Your Community Potential Members to Invite to a Roundtable	3-D-6 3-D-9

#### 3-D.1.0. INTRODUCTION

The language, policies and requirements in local land development codes drives development patterns. Developers are constrained to follow the local requirements (and sometimes state requirements, such as subdivision street design criteria, that are passed down to localities).

While some land developers hold to more traditional development models, others are now pursuing Low Impact Development (LID) and Environmental Site Design (ESD) concepts, recognizing that doing so not only protects natural resources but avoids future problems such as inadequate space for necessary best management practice facilities. However, they often find that the more traditional local codes prevent them from incorporating many of the best design practices. It is also important to note that eliminating obstacles to ESD techniques through local ordinance amendments is a key component of an effective local stormwater management program, since various Runoff Reduction techniques cannot be implemented on development sites if local land use ordinances don't allow their use. This situation pushes the site designer toward the use of the more traditional on site detention and retention basins and away from the protection of natural areas to reduced runoff. Because of the amount of money involved to complete land development projects, minimizing the time involved is very important. For that reason, developers understandably prefer to take the path of least resistance. If localities don't provide incentives to do things in better ways or, much worse, put up impediments to using better practices, then developers will continue to do things in ways that are tried and true for them.

As noted in **Section 6.4** of **Chapter 6** of this Handbook, some local codes actually discourage or prohibit the use of LID and ESD practices and techniques. In other cases, local development review authorities are hesitant to approve innovative LID and ESD techniques, due to their unfamiliarity and lack of a locally demonstrated effectiveness. In a recent survey, the Center for Watershed Protection asked respondents to identify the three most common impediments to implementing LID and ESD principles within their jurisdictions. **Table 3-D.1** displays the top issues that make it difficult for developers to employ some of the best and most sustainable approaches to site design and BMP implementation.

Table 3-D.1. Barriers to the Use of LID and Environmental Site Design Techniques

What are the 3 most common impediments to implementing LID and ESD in your community?			
Answer Options		Response Count	
Developers seek to maximize development of the parcel	87.1%	27	
Developers seek to achieve minimum local requirements	54.8%	17	
Standard design template (e.g., "cookie cutter" development	54.8%	17	
Not part of current regulations	51.6%	16	
Training needed to better understand situations where ESD is applicable or where ESD techniques can be implemented		7	
Regulations restrict more innovative practices (e.g., curb and gutter requirements prohibit open-section streets and roads)		6	
Existing and/or dated regulations limit flexibility	16.1%	5	
Limited staff or resources to change existing practices and to discuss options	12.9%	4	
Review process limits time with client/developer (no pre-construction meeting)			

Source: CSN and CWP (2010)

These problems must be addressed in order to gain widespread acceptance and adoption of LID and ESD techniques. Communities may also need to carefully reevaluate their local codes and ordinances to overcome barriers to LID and ESD.

Code and Ordinance Worksheets allow an in-depth review of the standards, ordinances, and codes (i.e., the development rules) that shape how development is accomplished in a community. The Worksheets guide on through a systematic comparison of local development rules with the model development principles (Environmental Site Design practices and Sustainable Site principles discussed in this chapter). Institutional frameworks, regulatory structures and incentive programs are included in such a review. These Worksheets consist of series of questions that correspond to each of the model development principles. Points are assigned based on how well the current development rules agree with the site planning benchmarks associated with the Environmental Site Design benchmarks.

The Worksheets provided in this Appendix are intended to guide the user through the first two steps of a local site planning roundtable:

Step 1: Inventory the land use ordinances within the locality code.

Step 2: Determine how these requirements may conflict with LID, ESD and the Runoff Reduction approach fostered by the Virginian Stormwater Management Program (VSMP) Regulations.

This type of evaluation helps to identify which local development rules are potential candidates for change.

### 3-D.2.0. PREPARING TO COMPLETE A CODE AND ORDINANCE WORKSHEET

The initial and key task that needs to be performed before beginning to use a Worksheet is to identify all the development rules that apply in the locality. A team approach may be helpful, involving the locality's local VSMP program administrator, a site plan reviewer, a staff planner, and the locality's attorney. Their real-world experience with the development process is often very useful in completing the Worksheet. In addition, there are some watershed-focused non-profit organizations that have experience and can provide assistance in such reviews, and DEQ staff also may be able to provide advice and assistance.

## 3-D.2.1. Indentify the Development Rules

The first step is to assess existing development codes and ordinances and then, compared with the principles of LID and ESD, to identify which ones may need changing. A Codes and Ordinances Worksheet helps a locality systematically compare their existing development requirements to the recommended ESD techniques by asking specific questions regarding how development actually occurs in the locality. To use the worksheet, localities assemble all local and state ordinances that collectively govern how development occurs in the community, including documents such as:

In some cases, information on a particular development rule may not be explicitly articulated in local code or may be hidden in supporting design manuals, review checklists, or as an unwritten review policy. Once current development rules and regulations are identified, the codes and ordinances worksheet can be completed. The CWP's Worksheet consists of 66 questions that compare local development rules against their 22 Better Site Design principles (CWP, 1998). Each question focuses on a specific site design practice, such as the minimum diameter of culde-sacs, the minimum width of streets, or the minimum parking ratio for a certain land use. Points are awarded if local development rules agree with the benchmark for a particular site design practice. In some instances, local codes and ordinances might not explicitly address a particular practice. In these cases, evaluators should use appropriate judgment based on standard community practices.

- Zoning Ordinance
- Subdivision Ordinance
- Comprehensive Land Use Plan
- Green Infrastructure Plan
- Street Standards or Road Design Manual
- Parking Requirements (these are often contained in a local zoning ordinance)
- Building and Fire Regulations/Standards
- Stormwater Management or Drainage Criteria
- Buffer or Floodplain Regulations
- Environmental Regulations
- Septic and Sewer Regulations
- Tree Protection or Landscaping Ordinance
- Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance
- Public Fire Defense Master Plan
- Grading Ordinance
- Locality Comprehensive Plan
- Other documents that influence how development is conducted

The information sought about a particular development rule may not be found in ordinances or regulations, but may be found in supporting design manuals, review checklists, guidance documents, or construction specifications. Few localities include all their rules in a single document. It may be necessary to contact state and federal agencies and other local agencies to obtain copies of needed documents.

Once current development rules and regulations are identified, the codes and ordinances worksheet can be completed. The CWP's Worksheet consists of 66 questions that compare local development rules against their 22 Better Site Design principles (CWP, 1998). Each question focuses on a specific site design practice, such as the minimum diameter of cul-de-sacs, the minimum width of streets, or the minimum parking ratio for a certain land use. If the locality wants to keep score, points can be awarded where local development rules agree with the benchmark for a particular site design practice. In some instances, local codes and ordinances

might not explicitly address a particular practice. In these cases, evaluators should use appropriate judgment based on standard community practices.

## **3-D.2.2.** Identify Development Authorities

Once the development rules are located, it is relatively easy to determine which local departments are responsible for administering and enforcing the rules. Completing this step will provide you with a better understanding of the intricacies of the development review process and helps identify key members of a future local roundtable. **Table 3-D.2** on the following pages provides a simple framework for identifying the agencies that influence development in your community. As you will see, space is provided not only for local agencies, but for state and federal agencies as well. In some cases, state and federal agencies may also exercise some authority over the local development process (e.g., wetlands, floodplains, some road design, and stormwater).

Table 3-D.2 Local, State and Federal Authorities Responsible for Development in Your Community

Development Responsibility	Contact Info	State/Federal	County	Town
	Agency:			
Sets road standards	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Review/approve subdivision plans	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.		·	
	Agency:			
Establishes zoning ordinances	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Establishes subdivision ordinances	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Establishes Stormwater Management or drainage criteria	Contact Name:			
drainage ontona	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Provides fire protection and fire protection code enforcement	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			

Development Responsibility	Contact Info	State/Federal	County	Town
	Agency:			
Oversees buffer ordinance or requirements	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Oversees wetland protection	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Oversees floodplain regulation	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Establishes grading reqmts or oversees Erosion & Sediment Control program	Contact Name:			
J Same Program	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Reviews/approves septic systems	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Reviews/approves utility plans (e.g., water and sewer)	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			

Development Responsibility	Contact Info	State/Federal	County	Town
	Agency:			
Reviews/approves forest conservation / tree protection plans	Contact Name:		·	
	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Establishes the comprehensive land use plan	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			
	Agency:			
Responsible for Green Infrastructure and/or Greenways planning	Contact Name:			
	Phone No.			

Source: Adapted from CWP

## 3-D.2.3. Consider Establishing a Stakeholder Roundtable

It is often productive to use a roundtable process to accomplish a productive evaluation of local development codes and ordinances. This process harvests the best ideas and gets buy-in from those most affected by any changes that result from this process. An effective method for promoting code change is to establish a local land use/land development roundtable. Roundtables involve key stakeholders from the local government, development, and environmental communities that influence the development process in a community (see **Table 3-D.3** below).

Table 3-D.3. Potential Members to Invite to a Roundtable

Manufacturer Associations
Engineering Consultants
Homeowner Associations
Chamber of Commerce
Elected Officials
Urban Foresters
Site Plan Reviewers
Stormwater Management Authority
Municipal Insurers
Watershed Organization
Residents and Owners
State Agencies
Environmental and Other NGOs

Source: MPCA (2006)

The Center for Watershed Protection has a *Do-It-Yourself Local Site Planning Roundtable CD-ROM* available from their web site at:

http://bmb.goemerchant.com/cart/cart.aspx?ST=buy&Action=add&Merchant=centerforwatershedprotection&ItemNumber=WLK!45DIYRound

The roundtable approach is but one of many different approaches that can be used for public participation in the development of improved local ordinances. The development of a good comprehensive plan that involves a local water or watershed component that includes an inventory of natural amenities and a stormwater management plan is another. The roundtable discussion is included here as an option that might not be as familiar as the comprehensive planning approach. The roundtable is a consensus process to negotiate new development guidance in the context of local conditions. Having already researched the applicable development codes and ordinances, a site planning roundtable is normally conducted in three steps, as described below.

#### 3-D.2.3.1. Introduce Stakeholders to the Roundtable Process

The first meeting of a roundtable focuses on educating stakeholders to ensure they have a firm grasp of its purpose and goals. The initial meeting introduces stakeholders to three key topics:

- Provide education about LID and ESD techniques. Stakeholders initially may have different levels of understanding about ESD techniques, stormwater impacts or the development process. Stakeholders need to be educated on each topic so everyone starts off on a level playing field.
- Introduce them to the roundtable process. Roundtables are a structured process that consists of numerous facilitated meetings. Since participation entails a significant time commitment, stakeholders should clearly understand how the roundtable process works and the expectations for their participation.

• Review of the codes and ordinance analysis. Stakeholders should get a chance to review the codes and ordinances worksheet and help identify the real and perceived barriers that impede adoption of ESD techniques.

### 3-D.2.3.2. Conduct the Roundtable and Facilitate Consensus

The roundtable process may extend over an entire year. Subcommittee meetings are often used to focus the efforts of a smaller group of stakeholders on a limited number of topics, such as road and parking lot design. Several subcommittees work on their topics concurrently, and then report their recommendations during full roundtable meetings. An independent third party is often needed to manage stakeholders through the process and guide them toward consensus.

### 3-D.3.2.3. Implement Code and Ordinances Changes

The product of a roundtable is a list of specific recommendations on local code change that promote adoption of ESD techniques in new development projects. In addition, the roundtable may also recommend incentives, training, education or other measures to encourage greater use of ESD techniques. The full package of consensus recommendations is then presented to local elected officials and the larger community as well. In most cases, additional education of elected officials is needed to ensure that changes to local code and ordinance change are adopted or enacted.

## 3-D.3.0. CODE AND ORDINANCE REVIEW TOOLS THAT MAY BE USED TO IDENTIFIED CONFLICTS IN RUNOFF REDUCTION & ENVIRONMENTAL SITE DESIGEN PRINCIPLES

## 3-D.3.1. Completing the Worksheet

Two worksheets are presented at the end of this Appendix.

The first worksheet (**Section 3-D.4.0**) has been used in the past to determine how well local ordinances in Virginia address water quality. This worksheet consists of two parts. The first part is a checklist addressing local requirements that address the three "general criteria" aimed at protecting water quality:

- minimize land disturbance
- Preserve Indigenous Vegetation
- Minimize Impervious Cover

This checklist also addresses general water quality protection provisions of local ordinances and plans.

The second worksheet (**Section 3-C.5.0**) is borrowed from the Center for Watershed Protection. It presents 77 site planning benchmarks, including some that are not included in the worksheet. The CWP worksheet is subdivided into three categories:

- Residential streets and parking lots (CWP model development principles 1-10)
- Lot development (CWP model development principles 11-16)
- Conservation of natural areas (CWP model development principles 17-22)

The benchmarks are posed as questions. Each benchmark focuses on a specific site design practice, such as the minimum diameter of cul-de-sacs, the minimum width of streets, or the minimum parking ratio for a certain land use. The user should refer to the codes, ordinances, and plans identified in the first step to determine the appropriate development rule(s) that applies in each case. The questions require either a *yes* or *no* response or a specific numeric criteria. If the development rule agrees with the site planning benchmark, points are awarded.

## 3-D.3.2. Calculating Your Score (Optional)

Keep in mind that the CWP model development ("Better Site Design") principles are reflected in the 23 ESD practices discussed in **Chapter 6** of this Handbook. If the locality chooses to keep score, a place is provided on each page of the CWP worksheet to keep track of the running score. For each category, the user may also subtotal the score. However, the locality does not have to score the worksheet – the basic purpose is to identify impediments to effective land development, with or without a score.

This *Time to Assess* allows the user to consider which development requirements are most consistent with the site planning benchmarks and which requirements may represent conflicts and are potential candidates for change.

Once the worksheet is completed, the user should review the responses to determine if the development rules are generally consistent or if there are specific areas that result in impediments to ESD and need improvement. This review is a key to achieving more sustainable development in within the locality. It also directly leads to the next step of the process: convening a site planning roundtable (stakeholder advisory group) process, conducted by the local government to develop consensus regarding fruitful changes to local codes. The primary tasks of a local roundtable are to systematically review existing development requirements and determine if changes can or should be made. The roundtable can also review good examples of improved criteria from communities already implementing them, and recommend specific changes to local policies and rules. By providing an effective framework for overcoming barriers to better development practices, the site planning roundtable can serve as an important mechanism for local change.

### 3-D.4.0. CHECKLIST FOR ADVISORY REVIEW OF LOCAL ORDINANCES

DEQ has a checklist that both Department and local staff have used to review local land development ordinances and recommend needed revisions, in order to ensure these ordinances adequately address the protection of the quality of state waters. Three key categories of land use performance criteria that both address water quality, foster the use of ESD and advance the techniques used in the Runoff Reduction method include:

- 1. Land disturbance is minimized;
- 2. Indigenous vegetation is preserved; and
- 3. Impervious cover is minimized

There are two sections of this checklist: (1) General Performance Criteria Implementation Options, and (2) General Water Quality Protection and Improvement Provisions. These sections are used for advisory evaluation of local ordinances in preparation for future compliance evaluations and to provide technical assistance to localities that choose to add provisions to address the three performance criteria.

Section 1, General Performance Criteria Implementation Options, evaluates existing local land development ordinances to identify specific language that promotes or requires minimizing land disturbance (Part 1), maximizes protection of indigenous vegetation (Part 2), and minimizes impervious cover (Part 3) within a locality. This Section of the Checklist includes possible measures that implement the three general performance criteria that may be contained within a locality's land development ordinances. There is also space for a local government to reference specific measures that are included in an ordinance, but which are not included in this portion of the Checklist.

Part 4 (*General Water Quality Protection and Improvement Provisions*) of the Checklist includes practices and programs that may not fit into a general performance criterion, but which can be important to protecting and improving water quality.

In completing all sections of this Checklist, DEQ or local government staff review local ordinances and other documents adopted by the local governing body outlining specific requirements related to the development and use of land, to determine which of the measures within the Checklist are included in local ordinances.

The land development ordinances and other adopted documents should include specific standards that would address one or more of the three general performance criteria. Local governments are encouraged to indicate which of the three performance criteria the contents of a particular ordinance or adopted document may address. For example, a landscaping ordinance may include standards that would address the requirement to maximize the protection of indigenous vegetation.



# CHECKLIST FOR ADVISORY REVIEW OF LOCAL ORDINANCES

LOCALITY:  DATE OF REVIEW:  REVIEWER:	
GENERAL PERFORMANCE CRITERIA IMPLEMENTATION	OPTIONS
PART 1 – MINIMIZE LAND DISTURBANCE:	Provisions
PART 2 – PRESERVE INDIGENOUS VEGETATION:	Provisions
PART 3 – MINIMIZE IMPERVIOUS COVER:	Provisions
PART 4 – GENERAL WATER QUALITY PROTECTION PROVISIONS	PROVISIONS
Total Number of Provisions	

## **SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW INFORMATION**

## LOCAL DOCUMENTS REVIEWED: \_\_\_\_

TRACKING SHEET:	
General Performance Criteria Implementation Options	61 questions
Part 1 – Minimize Land Disturbance:	18 questions
1A – Open Space Requirements:	
1B – Clearing and Grading Requirements:	
1C – Utility and Easement Requirements:	
1D – LID/Environmental Site Design Concepts:	
1E – Other Standards:	
Part 2 – Preserve Indigenous Vegetation:	18 questions
2A – Sensitive Land Protection/Preservation:	
2B – Vegetation and Tree Protection Requirements:	
2C – LID/Environmental Site Design Concepts:	
2D – Other Standards:	
Part 3 – Minimize Impervious Cover:	25 questions
3A – Parking Requirements:	
3B – LID/Environmental Site Design Concepts:	
3C – Redevelopment and Infill Development Concepts:	
3D – Road Design Requirements:	
3E – Pedestrian Pathways and Driveways:	
3F – Other Standards:	
Part 4 – General Water Quality Protection Provisions	7 questions

## GENERAL PERFORMANCE CRITERIA IMPLEMENTATION OPTIONS

## PART 1: MINIMIZE LAND DISTURBANCE — 18 QUESTIONS

The minimization of land disturbance can be accomplished through the application of four general techniques included below as four sections. Each of these general techniques is presented below, with examples of more specific requirements that might minimize land disturbance. Additionally, space has been provided for the locality to include other options not listed in this Checklist.

## Section 1A – Open Space Requirements – 3 questions

What is	s the definition of "open space" used by the locality, and in what document(s) is this term defined?  Definition:  Ordinance name and citation:
	Ordinance name and citation:
1.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document that requires a certain portion or percentage of undisturbed open space as part of zoning district requirements?  Yes \Boxed{\text{No}} \Boxed{\text{No}}  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:  Zoning Districts and required percentages:
2.	Is there a cluster ordinance, other ordinance provision, or other adopted document that allows flexibility for development intensity or density (through cluster developments, height flexibility, density bonuses, etc.) in exchange for increased resource protection (open space, preservation of natural, undisturbed buffers, etc.)?  Yes \Boxedon No \Boxedon Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
3.	Do open space or other requirements within an ordinance, or other adopted document, protect land, other than RPAs?  • Wetlands Yes No C • Steep slopes Yes No C • Intermittent Streams Yes No C • Highly erodible soils Yes No C • Floodplains Yes No C • Other lands Yes No C Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
Section	11B - Clearing and Grading Requirements - 8 questions
4.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires that all clearing and grading plans or equivalent (including individual lots) specify limits of clearing and restricts clearing to the minimum necessary for the construction of the project?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:

5.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that authorizes staff to establish limits on clearing and grading?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
6.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that includes a definition of "construction footprint" and limits clearing and grading to the construction footprint?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
7.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires that the RPA be physically marked on-site prior to any clearing and /or grading and throughout the development process?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
8.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires the limits of clearing and grading to be physically marked on-site?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
9.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires documentation of the condition of the RPA to be provided before and after development?  Yes \Boxedown No \Boxedown Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
10	. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that prohibits clearing and grading on sensitive lands (i.e., steep slopes, highly erodible soils, etc.) in addition to require RPA features?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document: Other lands:
11	<ul> <li>Is there an ordinance provision that designates other sensitive lands, such as steep slopes, highly erodible soils, non-RPA nontidal wetlands, etc. as RPA features?</li> <li>Wetlands Yes No </li> <li>Steep slopes Yes No </li> <li>Intermittent Streams Yes No </li> <li>Highly erodible soils Yes No </li> <li>Floodplains Yes No </li> </ul>

Yes □ No □	es 🗆 No 🗆
For the purposes of this checklist, the tWAC 10-20-150 B 2 of the regulation	irement (Public and Private) – 3 questions term public utilities means those outlined under Section 9 s: "Construction, installation and maintenance of water, telecommunications and cable television lines, owned, at or regional service authority."
utility installation plans, inc disturbance?  Yes \( \subseterminus \) No \( \subseterminus \)  Ordinance name and citation: \( \subseterminus \)	n, or other adopted document, that requires approval of luding temporary construction areas, prior to land
plan, other than for stabilizate vegetation is removed for temporary Yes \Backslash No \Backslash Ordinance name and citation:	n, or other adopted document, that requires a replanting tion required for erosion and sediment control, when brary construction easements?
placement of public utilities with when present?  Yes \( \subseteq \text{No } \subseteq \)  Ordinance name and citation:	, or other adopted document, that allows or requires the thin the right-of-way for public or private roads or alleys,
For the purposes of this checklist, the	Environmental Site Design Concepts – 3 questions the term <i>Low Impact Development (LID)</i> includes those functional site designs with pollution prevention measures spacts on hydrology and water quality.
<ul> <li>incentives may include intensity or</li> <li>Wetlands</li> <li>Intermittent Streams</li> <li>Steep slopes</li> <li>Highly erodible soils</li> </ul>	es No

Ordinance names and citations:Other adopted documents:
16. Do local ordinance provisions, or other adopted documents, allow flexibility in practice to enable the implementation of LID practices that limit land disturbance?  Yes □ No □
Ordinance names and citations:
Other adopted documents:
17. Are there ordinance provisions, or other adopted documents, that require the incorporation of existing drainageways and the integration of natural drainage pattern into site drainage plans?  Yes □ No □  Ordinance names and citations:  Other adopted documents:
Section 1E – Other Standards
18. Are there other ordinance provisions, or other specific standards in other adopted documents, that limit land disturbance?  Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \)  Ordinance names and citations:  Other adopted documents.
Other adopted documents:Other standards:
PART 2: PRESERVE INDIGENOUS VEGETATON — 18 QUESTIONS The preservation of indigenous vegetation can be accomplished through the application of three generatechniques addressed in the following three sections. Each of these general techniques is presented belowith examples of more specific requirements that preserve indigenous vegetation. Additionally, space here provided for the locality to include other options not currently listed in this Checklist.
Section 2A – Sensitive Land Protection/Preservation – 9 questions
19. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires conservation areas or corridors (e.g., greenways, green infrastructure corridors, green belts, etc.)?  Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \)  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
20. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires riparian vegetate
buffers adjacent to non-perennial water bodies or wetlands not required to be include in RPAs?
• Wetlands Yes \( \square\) No \( \square\)
• Intermittent Streams Yes \( \square\) No \( \square\)
• Floodplains Yes \( \square\) No \( \square\)
• Other lands Yes \Boxed No \Boxed
Ordinance name and citation:Other adopted document:

21.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires the permanent marking of the RPA boundaries and, if so, to which zoning districts does this apply?  Yes \Boxedown No \Boxedown  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:  Zoning districts:
22.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires a portion of open space, other than RPAs, to be left in a natural, vegetated condition?  Yes No Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document: Percentage to be left natural:
23.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires a building setback from the RPA boundary?  Yes \Boxtimes No \Boxtimes  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:  Setback distance:
24.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires a building setback from other sensitive lands, such as intermittent streams and non-RPA nontidal wetlands?  Yes No Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document: Setback distance: Sensitive lands protected:
25.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires the preservation of indigenous vegetation on sensitive lands other than required RPA land types?  • Steep slopes Yes No C  • Highly erodible soils Yes No C  • Floodplains Yes No C  • Wetlands Yes No C  • Other lands Yes No C  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:  Land types protected:  Land types protected:
26.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that limits removal of indigenous vegetation for temporary construction easements for utilities?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:

	27.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that limits removal of indigenous vegetation for maintenance of utility easements?
		Yes No No
		Ordinance name and citation:
		Other adopted document:
Se	ction	1 2B – Vegetation and Tree Protection Requirements – 7 questions
	28.	Does the locality have a tree protection ordinance that protects existing trees (if permitted by state law)?  Yes  No  No
		Ordinance name and citation:
	29.	Are there ordinance provisions, or other adopted documents, that include more specific tree preservation requirements for the preservation of stands of trees or contiguous wooded areas?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
	30.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that awards credit for maintaining indigenous vegetation when meeting landscaping requirements?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
	31.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires vegetated buffers adjacent to non-RPA wetlands, streams or other water bodies to be left undisturbed?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
	32.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that includes clear language to protect woody vegetation outside of the construction footprint on individual lots or development sites?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
	33.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires a landscape maintenance agreement or similar mechanism to protect indigenous vegetation to be preserved on-site throughout the construction process?  Yes \Boxedown No \Boxedown  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:

34. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires the preservation of indigenous vegetation in open space as a component of cluster development?
Yes No
Ordinance name and citation:
Other adopted document:
Section 2C – Low Impact Development/Environmental Site Design Concepts – 1 question
35. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires a natural resources (of environmental) assessment as the initial part of the plan-of-development review process (e.g. pre-submission/pre-application requirement for site plans, preliminary subdivision plats, etc.) and uses this information in the review of proposed projects to limit the impacts on natural resources? Yes \( \sqrt{No} \sqrt{\sqrt{No}} \sqrt{\sqrt{O}} \) Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
Section 2D – Other Standards
36. Are there other ordinance provisions, or other specific standards in other adopted documents, that preserve indigenous vegetation?  Yes □ No □
Ordinance name and citation:
Other adopted document:
Other standards:
PART 3: MINIMIZE IMPERVIOUS COVER — 25 QUESTIONS  The minimization of impervious cover can be accomplished through the application of five general techniques addressed below in five sections. Each of these general techniques is presented below, with examples of more specific requirements that minimize impervious cover. Additionally, space has been provided for the locality to include other options not currently listed in this Checklist.
Section 3A – Parking Requirements – 11 questions
37. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that sets maximum parking space requirements for some or all zoning districts?  Yes □ No □
Ordinance name and citation:
Other adopted document:
Applicable zoning districts:
38. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that allows or requires the use of alternative pervious surfaces for required parking and/or overflow parking areas?  Yes  No  Ordinance provision.
Ordinance name and citation:Other adopted document:

39.	Are there ordinance provisions, or other adopted documents, that allow for shared and off-site parking in certain zoning districts, such as commercial and office districts?  Yes \Boxed{\text{No}} \Boxed{\text{No}}  Ordinance names and citations:  Other adopted document:  Zoning districts where allowed:
40.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that allows or requires a percentage of parking spaces for compact cars or motorcycles?  Yes \[ \sum \ No \[ \sum \]  Ordinance name and citation: \[ \sum \ Other adopted document: \[ \sum \ Percentage of total: \[ \sum \ Size of compact car spaces: \]
41.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that provides incentives for structural parking versus surface parking?  Yes No Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
42.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that limits the width of travel lanes in parking areas to the following chart of minimum widths?  Parking Angle 1-Way 2-Way 90 degree 20 feet 24 feet 60 degree 16 feet 24 feet 45 degree 14 feet 24 feet 30 degree 12 feet 24 feet Parallel 12 feet 24 feet Yes \Boxedown \No \Boxedown Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
43.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that allows single travel aisles versus double aisles in parking areas?  Yes \Boxedon No \Boxedon  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
44.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that limits the size of parking stalls to 9 feet x 18 feet for all passenger vehicle parking stalls (non-parallel parking) except handicapped stalls?  Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \)  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:

	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that allows on-street parking to count towards required minimum parking spaces?  Yes No Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that sets parking space minimums for commercial and office uses to 4 spaces or less per 1,000 net square feet of floor area?  Yes \Boxedox No \Boxedox Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that sets parking space minimums for churches, schools, theaters, etc. to 1 space or less for every 4 fixed seats, or 10 spaces or less per 1,000 net square feet of floor space?  Yes \Boxedown No \Boxedown  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
For the	<u>3B</u> – Low Impact Development/Environmental Site Design – 4 questions purpose of this Checklist, "lot coverage" means all impervious surfaces, such as buildings, es, decks, driveways, patios, parking lots and sidewalks, etc.
	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that sets maximum impervious coverage or lot coverage for lots and/or parcels based on zoning districts?  Yes \Boxed{\text{No}} \Boxed{\text{No}}  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:  Zoning districts and percentage of impervious coverage allowed:
	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that allows or encourages increased building height, floor area ration, density, etc. to limit impervious coverage?  Yes \Boxed{\text{No}} \Boxed{\text{No}}  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that allows or encourages the use of vegetated bioretention facilities to meet parking lot landscaping requirements?  Yes \Boxed{\text{No}} \Boxed{\text{No}}  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that allows or promotes LID practices (e.g., green roof, bioretention, etc.) for new development projects?  Yes  No  Ordinance names and citation:

	Other adopted document:
Section	n 3C – Redevelopment or Infill Development Concepts – 3 questions
52	. Are there ordinance provisions that promote infill or redevelopment through techniques such as tax and other local incentives, or through other methods?  Yes \Boxed{\subseteq} \text{No} \Boxed{\subseteq}  Ordinance names and citations:  Other adopted documents:  Promotion methods:
53	. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that allows or promotes LID practices (e.g., green roof, bioretention, etc.) in redevelopment projects in urban areas?  Yes \Boxtimes No \Boxtimes  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
54	. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that reduces impervious cover on redevelopment projects?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
Section	n 3D – Road Design Requirements – 4 questions
55	. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that establishes the maximum radius of cul-de-sacs that does not exceed VDOT's minimum standards?  Yes \Boxed{\text{No}} \Boxed{\text{No}}  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
56	. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that permits the pavement width of private roads to be narrower than specified in VDOT standards?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
57	. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that limits turn lanes, road widths and other pavement requirements to the minimum specified in VDOT standards?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
58	. Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that allows permeable surfaces for required emergency vehicle access lanes (aside from main roads)?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:

## $\underline{Section~3E}-Pedestrian~Pathways~and~Residential~Driveways-3~questions$

	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that permits shared driveways?  Yes \Boxedown No \Boxedown  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that limits sidewalks and other pedestrian pathways to the minimum VDOT standards or other minimum required standards?  Yes \Boxed No \Boxed Ordinance name and citation:Other adopted document:
	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that provides for the use of alternative permeable materials for sidewalks and/or driveways?  Yes \Boxedown No \Boxedown  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
Section	3F – Other Standards
	Are there other ordinance provisions, or other specific standards in other adopted documents, that limit impervious cover?  Yes No Ordinance names and citations: Other adopted documents: Other standards:
	4: GENERAL WATER QUALITY PROTECTION PROVISIONS – 8 QUESTIONS questions relate to general water quality protection or improvement provisions or program s.
	Does the locally designated Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance or implementation of all CBPA performance criteria cover more than 50 percent of the locality's total land area or greater than 50 percent of the total land area in the Chesapeake Bay watershed portion of the locality?  Yes \Boxedon No \Boxedon  Ordinance name and citation:  Documentation:
	Does the locally designated Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance or implementation of all CBPA performance criteria apply to all land area within the locality or all land area within the Chesapeake Bay watershed portion of the locality?  Yes  No  No

	Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:
65.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires or provides incentives for LID techniques during the plan review process or mandates their use when technically feasible?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation:
66.	Other adopted document:  Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires environmental
	site design to be undertaken before land disturbance is approved?  Yes \( \subseteq \text{No } \subseteq \)  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
67.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that permits the Purchase of Development Rights or the Transfer of Development Rights?  Yes \Boxedown No \Boxedown  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
68.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that requires or provides incentives for the use of vegetated BMPs or additional vegetation as part of traditional BMPs, to enhance their pollution removal function?  Yes \Boxed{\text{No}} \Boxed{\text{No}} \Boxed{\text{Conditions}}  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
69.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that includes other standards that provide for general water quality protection or improvement?  Yes \Boxedot No \Boxedot  Ordinance name and citation:  Other adopted document:
70.	Is there an ordinance provision, or other adopted document, that includes standards to promote the revegetation of cleared areas for the purpose of general water quality protection or improvement?  Yes  No  Ordinance name and citation: Other adopted document:

LOCALITY: \_\_\_\_



## SITE PLAN AND PLAT **CONSISTENCY REVIEW CHECKLIST**

DATE OF REVIEW:
REVIEWER:
The following questions relate to the requirements for information to be included on site plans and/or plats as outlined in the CBPA regulations. For consistency with the regulations, each applicable question should be answered in the affirmative. In cases where no new on-site septic systems are permitted by the local government, Questions #3 and #4 may not be applicable.
For the purposes of reviewing local government consistency with the requirements of 9 VAC 10-20-191 A 4 and 5, the terms "plat" and "site plan" will be interpreted as follows:
"Plat" means a survey developed for the purposes of subdividing from a larger parcel of land or adjusting the boundaries of the parcel showing the boundary lines and existing features of the property.
"Site plan" means those plans that are required by the local government as a part of the plan-of-development review process required by 9 VAC 10-20-120 4.
1. Do local land development ordinances require the depiction of Resource Protection Area (RPA) and Resource Management Area (RMA) boundaries on submitted plats and site plans? (9 VAC 10-20-191 A 4 i)  Yes  No  Which ordinance(s): Ordinance section(s): Is this information required through some other mechanism? If so, please describe it:
2. Do local land development ordinances require a notation on plats of the requirement to retain an undisturbed and vegetated 100-foot wide riparian buffer area? (9 VAC 10-20-191 A 4 i)  Yes □ No □  3-D-27

	Which ordinance(s): Ordinance section(s):
	Is this information required through some other mechanism? If so, please describe it:
3.	For areas that require on-site (including remotely located) sewage treatment systems, do local land development ordinances require plats to have a notation regarding the requirement for periodic pump-out of on-site sewage treatment systems? ( 9 VAC 10-20-191 A 4 ii )  Yes  No  Which ordinance(s):  Ordinance section(s):  Is this information required through some other mechanism? If so, please describe it:
4.	For areas that require on-site (including remotely located) sewage treatment systems, do local land development ordinances require plats to have a notation regarding the requirement that each on-site sewage treatment system must have a 100% reserve drainfield site? (9 VAC 10-20-191 A 4 ii)  Yes \Boxedown No \Boxedown  Which ordinance(s):  Ordinance section(s):  Is this information required through some other mechanism? If so, please describe it:
5.	Do local land development ordinances require a notation on plats specifying that permitted development in the RPA is limited to water-dependent facilities or redevelopment, including in the 100-foot wide vegetated riparian buffer? ( 9 VAC 10-20-191 A 4 iii )  Yes  No  Which ordinance(s):  Ordinance section(s):
	Is this information required through some other mechanism? If so, please describe it:
6.	Does the local government require, within the plan-of-development review process, the delineation of the buildable areas on each lot, based on the performance criteria, local front and side yard setbacks, and any other relevant easements or limitations regarding lot coverage? (Please note that this requirement is only applicable to plans, not plats) ( 9 VAC 10-20-191 A 4 i )  Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \) Which ordinance(s):  Ordinance section(s):  Is this information required through some other mechanism? If so, please describe it:

## 3-D.5.0 CENTER FOR WATERSHED PROTECTION (CWP) CODE AND ORDINANCE WORKSHEET

Development Feature		Your Local Criteria
1.	Street Width What is the minimum pavement width allowed for streets in low density residential developments that have less than 500 average daily trips (ADT)?	feet
	If your answer is between <b>18-22 feet</b> , give yourself <b>4</b> points	
	At higher densities, are parking lanes allowed to also serve as traffic lanes (i.e., queuing streets)?	YES / NO
	If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>3</b> points.	
2.	Street Length  Do street standards promote the most efficient street layouts that reduce overall street length?	YES / NO
	If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
3.	Right-of-Way Width What is the minimum right-of-way (ROW) width for a residential street?	feet
	If your answer is <b>less than 45 feet</b> , give yourself <b>3</b> points.	
	Does the code allow utilities to be placed under the paved section of the ROW?	YES / NO
	If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
4.	Cul-de-Sacs What is the minimum radius allowed for cul-de-sacs?  If your answer is less than 35 feet, give yourself 3 points.  If your answer is 36 to 45 feet, give yourself 1 point.	feet
	Can a landscaped island be created within the cul-de-sac?	YES / NO
	If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
	Are alternative turn-arounds, such as "hammerheads," allowed on short streets in low-density residential developments?	YES / NO
	If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
CWP Community Codes and Ordinances Worksheet Subtotal Page 1		

Development Feature		Your Local Cri	iteria
5. Vegetated Open Channels  Are curbs and gutters required for most residential street:	sections?	YES / NO	
If your answer is <b>NO</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points. 🗇			
Are there established design criteria for swales that can p quality treatment (i.e., dry swales, biofilters, or grass swales)		YES / NO	
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points.			
6. Parking Ratios What is the minimum parking ratio for a professional office of gross floor area)? If your answer is less than 3.0 spaces, give yourself 1 portions.	- "		spaces
What is the minimum required parking ratio for shopping or gross floor area)?  If your answer is less than 4.5 spaces, give yourself 1 po	1		spaces
What is the minimum required parking ratio for single family			spaces
If your answer is less than 2.0 spaces, give yourself 1 po	oint. 🗇		
Are your parking requirements set as maximum or mediar requirements?	n (rather than minimum)	YES / NO	
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points.			
7. Parking Codes Is the use of shared parking arrangements promoted?		YES / NO	
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇			
Are model shared parking agreements provided?		YES / NO	
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇			
Are parking ratios reduced if shared parking arrangements	s are in place?	YES / NO	
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇			
If mass transit is provided nearby, is the parking ratio redu	uced?	YES / NO	
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇			
CWP Community Codes and Ordinances Worksheet	Subtotal Page 2		

D	evelopment Feature	Your Local Criteria
8.	Parking Lots What is the minimum stall width for a standard parking space?	feet
	If your answer <b>9 feet or less</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
	What is the minimum stall length for a standard parking space?	feet
	If your answer 18 feet or less, give yourself 1 point.	
	Are the last 30% of the spaces at larger commercial parking lots required to have smaller dimensions for compact cars?	YES / NO
	If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
	Can permeable paving materials be used for spillover parking areas?	YES / NO
	If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points.	
9.	Structured Parking Are there any incentives to developers to provide parking within garages rather than surface parking lots?  If your answer is YES, give yourself 1 point.	YES / NO
10	Structured Parking	
	Is a minimum percentage of a parking lot required to be landscaped?	YES / NO
	If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points. $\Box$	
	Is the use of bioretention islands and other stormwater practices allowed within landscaped areas or setbacks?	YES / NO
	If your answer is YES, give yourself 2 points.	
C	WP Community Codes and Ordinances Worksheet Subtotal Page 3	
sh	me to Assess: Principles 1-10 focused on the codes, ordinances, and standa ape and construction of parking lots, roadways, and driveways in the suburban lands points available for Principles 1-10. What was your total score?	
S	Subtotal Page 1 + Subtotal Page 2 + Subtotal Page 3 =	
	here were your codes and ordinances most in line with the principles? What contential impediments to better development?	des and ordinances present
-		
_		
_		

Development Feature	Your Local Criteria
Are open space and cluster development designs allowed in your community?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>3</b> points.	
Is land conservation or impervious cover reduction a major goal or objective of the open space design ordinance?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
Are the submittal or review requirements for open space design greater than those for conventional development?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>NO</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
Is open space or cluster design a by-right form of development?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇	
Are flexible site design criteria available for developers that use open space or cluster design options (e.g., setbacks, road widths, lot sizes, etc.)?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points.	
<b>12. Setbacks and Frontages</b> Are irregular lot shapes (e.g., pie-shaped, flag lots, etc.) allowed in the community?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
What is the minimum requirement for front setbacks for a <b>one-half (1/2) acre</b> residential lot?	feet
If your answer <b>20 feet or less</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
What is the minimum requirement for rear setbacks for a <b>one-half (1/2) acre</b> residential lot?	feet
If your answer <b>25 feet or less</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
What is the minimum requirement for side setbacks for a <b>one-half (1/2) acre</b> residential lot??	feet
If your answer <b>8 feet or less</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
What is the minimum frontage distance for a <b>one-half (1/2) acre</b> residential lot?? If your answer <b>less than 80 feet</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points	feet
CWP Community Codes and Ordinances Worksheet Subtotal Page 4	

Development Feature	Your Local Criteria
13. Sidewalks What is the minimum sidewalk width allowed in the community?	feet
If your answer <b>4 feet or less</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points.	
Are sidewalks always required on both sides of residential streets?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>NO</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points.	
Are sidewalks generally sloped so they drain to the front yard rather than the street?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇	
Can alternate pedestrian networks be substituted for sidewalks (e.g., trails through common areas)?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇	
14. Driveways What is the minimum driveway width specified in the community? If your answer 9 feet or less (one lane) or 18 feet (two lanes), give yourself 2 points.	feet
Can pervious materials be used for single family home driveways (e.g., grass, gravel, permeable pavers or pavement, etc.)?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> give yourself <b>2</b> points.	
Can a "two track" design be used for single family home driveways?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
Are shared driveways permitted in residential developments?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇	
CWP Community Codes and Ordinances Worksheet Subtotal Page 5	

## Development Feature

Your Local Criteria

15. Open Space Management	
Skip to question 16 if open space, cluster, or conservation developments are r community.	not allowed in your
Does the community have enforceable requirements to establish associations that can effectively manage open space?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points.	
Are open space areas required to be consolidated into larger units?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
Does a minimum percentage of open space have to be managed in a natural condition?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
Are allowable and unallowable uses for open space in residential developments defined?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
Can open space be managed by a third party using land trusts or conservation easements?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
16. Rooftop Runoff Can rooftop runoff be discharged to yard areas?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points. 🗇	
Do current grading or drainage requirements allow for temporary ponding of stormwater on front yards or rooftops?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points. 🗇	
CWP Community Codes and Ordinances Worksheet Subtotal Page 6	
Fime to Assess: Principles 11-16 focused on the regulations which determine density, and the overall design and appearance of our neighborhoods. There were a to Principles 11-16. What was your total score?  Subtotal Page 4 + Subtotal Page 5 + Subtotal Page 6 = Where were your codes and ordinances most in line with the principles? What codotential impediments to better development?	otal of <b>36</b> points available for

## Development Feature

## Your Local Criteria

17. Buffer Systems Is there a stream buffer requirement or ordinance in the community?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points.	
If so, what is the minimum buffer width?	feet
If your answer <b>75 feet or more</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
Is expansion of the buffer to include freshwater wetlands, steep slopes or the 100-year floodplain required?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇	
18. Buffer Maintenance  Does the stream buffer requirement/ordinance specify that at least part of the	YES / NO
stream buffer be maintained in native vegetation?  If your answer is YES, give yourself 2 points.	
Does the stream buffer requirement/ordinance outline allowable uses within the buffer area?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
Does the buffer requirement/ordinance specify enforcement and education mechanisms?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
19. Clearing and Grading	YES / NO
Is there any ordinance that requires or encourages the preservation of natural vegetation at residential development sites?  If your answer is YES, give yourself 2 points.	
	VEQ (NQ
Do reserve septic field areas need to be cleared of trees at the time of development?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>NO</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇	
20. Tree Conservation  If forests or specimen trees are present at residential development sites, does	YES / NO
some of the stand have to be preserved?  If your answer is YES, give yourself 2 points.	
Are the limits of disturbance shown on construction plans adequate for preventing clearing of natural vegetative cover during construction?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point.	
CWP Community Codes and Ordinances Worksheet Subtotal Page 7	

Development Feature	Your Local Criteria
21. Land Conservation Incentives  Are there any incentives to developers or landowners to conserve non-regulated land (e.g., open space design, density bonuses, stormwater credits, lower property tax rates, etc.)?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points.	
Is flexibility to meet regulatory or conservation restrictions (e.g., density compensation, buffer averaging, transferable development rights, off-site mitigation, etc.) offered to developers?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>NO</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points. 🗇	
22. Stormwater Outfalls Is stormwater required to be treated for quality before it is discharged?  If your answer is YES, give yourself 2 points.	YES / NO
Are there effective design criteria for stormwater best management practices (BMPs)?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇	
Can stormwater be directly discharged into a jurisdictional wetland without pre- treatment?	YES / NO
If your answer is <b>NO</b> , give yourself <b>1</b> point. 🗇	
Does the community have a floodplain management ordinance that restricts or prohibits development within the 100-year floodplain?  If your answer is <b>YES</b> , give yourself <b>2</b> points.	YES / NO
CWP Community Codes and Ordinances Worksheet Subtotal Page 8	
<b>Time to Assess:</b> Principles 17-22 addressed codes and ordinances that promo existing natural areas and incorporation of open spaces into new development. The available for Principles 17-22. What was your total score?	
Subtotal Page 7 + Subtotal Page 8 =	
Where were your codes and ordinances most in line with the principles? What copotential impediments to better development?	des and ordinances present
To determine your final score, add up the subtotals from each <b>Time to Assess</b> box.  Principles 1 – 10 (page 3  Principles 11 - 16 (page 6  Principles 17 – 22 (page	) 5)

## Development Feature

## Your Local Criteria

SCORING (A total of 100 points available):	TOTAL
(See page 9 to see where your community'	

Your Community's Score			
90 – 100	ð	Congratulations! Your community is a real leader in protecting streams, lakes, and estuaries. Keep up the good work.	
80 – 89	ð	Your local development rules are pretty good, but they could use some tweaking in some areas.	
70 – 79	đ	Significant opportunities exist to improve your development rules. Consider creating a site planning roundtable.	
60 – 69	đ	Development site rules are inadequate to protect your local aquatic resources.  A site planning roundtable would be very useful.	
Less than 60	đ	Your development rules definitely are not environmentally –friendly. Significant reform of the development rules is recommended.	

#### 3-D.6.0 REFERENCES

Center for Watershed Protection (CWP). 1998. *Better Site Design: A Handbook for Changing Development Rules in Your Community*. Center for Watershed Protection. Ellicott City, MD.

CWP. July 2008a. Tool 4: Codes and Ordinance Worksheet. *Post-Construction Guidance Manual*. Ellicott City, MD. available from the CWP online at: <a href="http://www.cwp.org/Resource\_Library/Center\_Docs/SW/pcquidance/Tool4.pdf">http://www.cwp.org/Resource\_Library/Center\_Docs/SW/pcquidance/Tool4.pdf</a>

CWP. July 2008b. *Managing Stormwater in Your Community: A Guide for Building an Effective Post-Construction Program*. Ellicott City, MD. available from the CWP online at: <a href="http://www.cwp.org/Resource\_Library/Center\_Docs/SW/pcguidance/Manual/PostConstructionManual.pdf">http://www.cwp.org/Resource\_Library/Center\_Docs/SW/pcguidance/Manual/PostConstructionManual.pdf</a>

Chesapeake Stormwater Network (CSN) and CWP. March 9-11, 2010. From the Rooftop to the Bay Workshop. Staunton, Virginia.